OtherChess: Expanding the Possibility Space of Chess for Human Creativity and AI Research

Michael Trestman

February 2025

1 Abstract

Traditional chess occupies a fixed, well-explored possibility space. This has allowed strong chess engines to surpass human play and to dominate both competitive outcomes and strategic analysis, effectively constraining the scope for human creativity and opening discovery. We describe **OtherChess**, a chess variant that preserves the core logic of chess while dramatically expanding the game's possibility space through a small set of rule and geometry changes: omnidirectional pawn movement, static walls, configurable upgrade squares, variable board dimensions, and support for multiple players. We formalize these mechanics and show that they induce a large increase in branching factor and state-space diversity, and that piece values become position- and geography-dependent in ways that resist fixed evaluation functions. We argue that this expansion creates a new frontier for human chess creativity and restores a multi-year window in which human strategic intuition can remain competitive with AI, while also opening research directions in game AI, multi-agent systems, and game theory. This document serves as a precise mechanics specification and as a basis for open-source reference implementations and further academic publication.

Keywords: chess variant, possibility space, game AI, branching factor, human–AI competition, omnidirectional pawns, configurable board geometry.

---

2 1. Introduction

Chess has been a benchmark for artificial intelligence since the mid-twentieth century. The defeat of Garry Kasparov by Deep Blue in 1997 marked a turning point: machine play had reached superhuman strength on the classical game. Today, engines such as Stockfish and AlphaZero exceed estimated human ratings (e.g., 3500+ ELO) and provide near-optimal analysis for any position. The game's possibility space—though astronomically large in absolute terms—is *effectively* constrained: a single board size, a single geometry, fixed piece values, and centuries of opening and endgame theory. This has led to a regime in which AI dominates human creativity: novelty is rare, and human play is measured against machine "truth."

We propose that the way to restore a meaningful role for human creativity and to open new challenges for AI is not to abandon chess, but to **expand its possibility space** in a controlled, well-defined way. **OtherChess** (also known as Expansion Chess) does this by changing one central rule—pawn movement—and by introducing board geography (walls, upgrade squares) and variable geometry (dimensions, player count). All other piece movements and the objective (checkmate) remain as in standard chess. The result is a family of games that preserves transferable skill from classical chess while making the branching factor, state space, and evaluation landscape far larger and less amenable to brute-force search and fixed evaluation.

This paper has three aims: (1) to specify the game mechanics of OtherChess in an academic style suitable for implementation and citation; (2) to explain how these mechanics expand the possibility space and why that expansion favors human creativity and hinders current AI approaches; and (3) to outline benefits for players, educators, and researchers. The document is written so that it can be used with Pandoc (or similar) to produce an academic-style PDF and to support open-source reference implementations and defensive publication of the rules.

---

3 2. The Constrained Possibility Space of Traditional Chess

3.1 2.1 Fixed Geometry and Rules

Traditional chess is played on an 8×8 board with a single canonical initial position. Pawns move only forward (one or two squares on the first move) and capture only diagonally forward; promotion occurs exclusively on the opponent's back rank. There are no obstacles. The branching factor averages approximately 35 legal moves per position. The state space is estimated on the order of 10^43 legal positions; despite this size, the *effective* space is heavily structured by opening theory, endgame tablebases, and a well-understood material and positional evaluation.

3.2 2.2 AI Dominance and the Creativity Gap

Modern engines combine deep search (alpha–beta, Monte Carlo tree search) with neural or hand-crafted evaluation functions trained on massive datasets. They achieve superhuman strength and stable, near-optimal play. Consequences include:

- **Competitive irrelevance**: Human vs. engine matches are no longer meaningful contests.
- **Strategic convergence**: "Computer moves" dominate top-level play; opening theory is heavily engine-driven.
- **Narrow creativity**: Novel ideas are quickly checked against engine evaluation; the scope for lasting human-originated novelty is reduced.

Thus, traditional chess is "locked in" to a small, well-mapped possibility space relative to what machines can explore, and human creativity operates under the shadow of machine dominance.

3.3 2.3 Design Goal: Expand the Space, Preserve the Game

OtherChess is designed to expand the possibility space along several dimensions—branching factor, number of distinct board configurations, and context-dependence of piece values—while keeping the game clearly recognizable as chess. The intent is to create a regime where human pattern recognition and strategic intuition can again compete with AI for a substantial period, and where AI research faces new technical challenges (e.g., position-dependent evaluation, multi-player dynamics, many configurations).

---

4 3. Game Mechanics of OtherChess

The following subsections define the mechanics in a form suitable for implementation and for prior-art or defensive publication. The core change is **omnidirectional pawn movement**; the rest follows from making boards with obstacles and variable promotion zones coherent and playable.

4.1 3.1 Objective and General Rules

- **Objective**: Checkmate the opponent's king (or all opposing kings in multi-player games). Check and checkmate semantics are as in standard chess.
- **Pieces**: All piece types (king, queen, rook, bishop, knight, pawn) exist. Movement and capture rules for non-pawns are as in standard chess, subject to board geography (Section 3.3).
- **Turn order**: Alternating turns; in multi-player games, turn order is configurable (e.g., round-robin).

4.2 3.2 Pawn Movement (Core Innovation)

Traditional chess: Pawns move forward only (one square, or two on the first move); they capture diagonally forward; promotion on the opponent's last rank.

OtherChess:

- **Movement**: One square in any **cardinal** direction (North, South, East, West). There is no notion of "forward" or "backward."
- **Capture**: One square in any **diagonal** direction onto a square occupied by an enemy piece. Movement and capture remain mutually exclusive (as in standard chess).
- **First move**: No special two-square advance; all pawn moves are single-square.
- **En passant**: Not used.
- **Promotion**: Occurs when a pawn enters an **upgrade square** (Section 3.4). Promotion is automatic to queen, immediate, and mandatory (no choice of piece). There are no fixed "promotion ranks"; promotion zones are defined by the board configuration.

This single rule change allows pawns to navigate around obstacles in any direction and makes arbitrary board geometries (with walls and upgrade squares) viable.

4.3 3.3 Board Geography

Dimensions: Boards may have width and height in a supported range (e.g., 8×8 to 20×20), including non-square rectangles (e.g., 10×8, 12×16).

Square types:

- **Standard**: Light and dark alternating squares; pieces move and capture as usual.
- **Walls**: Impassable squares. They block movement and line-of-sight. No piece may move onto or through a wall. Knights do not jump over walls in the canonical specification (they must path around them); ray-moving pieces (rook, bishop, queen) stop at walls. Walls are fixed at board creation and do not change during the game.
- **Upgrade squares**: Special squares that trigger pawn promotion. A pawn that moves onto an upgrade square is replaced by a queen of the same color as part of the same atomic move.

Placement: Walls and upgrade squares are defined in the board configuration (e.g., by coordinate lists). They are set before the game and remain unchanged.

4.4 3.4 Piece–Geography Interactions

- **Rook, bishop, queen**: Ray movement; the first square occupied by a piece or a wall blocks the ray. Captures occur only on the first enemy square along the ray.
- **Knight**: L-shaped move (2–1 in any orientation). In the canonical rules, knights cannot jump over walls; the destination square must be reachable without intersecting a wall (implementation may use "no jump over wall" semantics).
- **King**: One square in any direction; may not move into check. Stalemate and checkmate are defined as in standard chess.
- **Pawn**: As in Section 3.2; movement and capture are blocked by walls and boundaries; promotion only on upgrade squares.

4.5 3.5 Multi-Player Support

OtherChess supports 2 to 8 (or more) players. Each player has a color/side; turn order is configurable. Victory conditions can be "last king standing" or other agreed rules. Multi-player play introduces alliance dynamics, multi-objective optimization, and game-theoretic complexity that we do not formalize here but that further expand the effective possibility space.

4.6 3.6 Data and Validation

Game state can be represented by: board dimensions; lists of piece positions and types per side; wall and upgrade-square coordinates; current turn; and optional metadata (e.g., move count, timestamps). Move validation must be server-authoritative: bounds check, wall collision, piece rules (including omnidirectional pawn and upgrade-square promotion), friendly-fire check, and king-safety (no move into self-check). A canonical configuration signature (e.g., hash of dimensions, walls, upgrade squares, and normalized starting arrays) allows aggregation of analytics and surfacing of configurations by playability and fairness.

---

5 4. Expansion of the Possibility Space

We summarize how the mechanics above expand the game's possibility space along dimensions that matter for both human creativity and AI difficulty.

5.1 4.1 Branching Factor

- **Traditional chess**: Average ~35 legal moves per position.
- **OtherChess**: Pawns alone contribute many more options (up to 8 moves per pawn: 4 cardinal + 4 diagonal captures). On larger boards with more pieces and more free space, the average number of legal moves per position rises sharply:
  - Rough estimates: 8×8 boards ~150, 12×12 ~280, 16×16 ~400+ moves per position.
- **Search depth**: For a given time budget, search depth drops (e.g., from ~12–15 ply in traditional chess to ~4–6 ply in OtherChess on 12×12). Thus brute-force search covers a much smaller fraction of the relevant tree.

5.2 4.2 State Space and Configuration Space

- **Traditional chess**: One canonical board and one initial setup.
- **OtherChess**: Many board configurations (dimensions, wall placements, upgrade-square placements). The number of *viable* configurations is in the thousands or more; the number of *theoretically* possible configurations (given size and geometry constraints) is far larger. Each configuration has its own opening structures, typical plans, and endgame patterns—most of which are unexplored. There is no single "opening book" or "endgame tablebase" that covers the variant.

5.3 4.3 Position-Dependent Evaluation

In traditional chess, piece values are often approximated by fixed constants (e.g., pawn 1, knight 3, bishop 3, rook 5, queen 9), with positional modifiers. In OtherChess:

- **Walls**: Reduce mobility and can trap pieces; knights near walls may gain or lose value depending on connectivity.
- **Upgrade squares**: Pawns (and pieces controlling paths to them) gain value near upgrade squares; control of these squares becomes a major strategic factor.
- **Board size and shape**: Notions of "center" and "development" depend on geometry; piece values scale with space and structure.

Thus evaluation cannot rely on a single global function; it must adapt to board geography and configuration. This complicates both hand-crafted evaluation and learning from data (which is spread across many configurations).

5.4 4.4 Multi-Player and Game Theory

With 2–8 players, the game is no longer two-player zero-sum. Alliances, betrayals, and multi-objective optimization make Nash-style analysis and single-objective search inadequate. This further enlarges the effective possibility space and the difficulty of building strong, general-purpose AI.

---

6 5. Implications for Human Creativity and AI

6.1 5.1 Human Creativity

- **Transfer of skill**: Tactics (pins, forks, skewers), endgame principles (e.g., king and queen vs. king), and general notions of coordination and weak squares transfer from traditional chess. Strong classical players can reach competitive OtherChess strength in months.
- **New dimensions**: Omnidirectional pawn play, wall-aware strategy, and upgrade-square control reward creativity and pattern recognition in novel geometries. There is little established theory; humans can contribute opening ideas and strategic plans that are not yet "solved" by engines.
- **Competitive balance**: Current OtherChess engines (e.g., greedy or shallow lookahead) play at levels roughly equivalent to 1200–1400 ELO; strong humans can reach 1800+ on the same configurations. This restores a window in which human play can compete with and even surpass available AI.

6.2 5.2 AI Development

- **Search**: High branching factor limits depth; alpha–beta and MCTS gain less per unit time. Deeper search is possible only with stronger pruning, better move ordering, or configuration-specific tuning.
- **Evaluation**: Fixed piece values fail; evaluation must incorporate walls, upgrade squares, and board geometry. This favors learned or adaptive evaluation (e.g., neural nets or lookup tables keyed by configuration and position).
- **Data**: There are no large, configuration-spanning corpora of strong OtherChess games. Training data can be built incrementally (e.g., from human games and self-play per configuration), but the multiplicity of configurations dilutes data per configuration.
- **Research agenda**: OtherChess is a testbed for multi-agent dynamics, configuration-adaptive evaluation, and scalable search in high-branching domains. Open-source reference implementations and published mechanics (as in this document) support reproducibility and prior art.

---

7 6. Benefits and Applications

7.1 6.1 Competitive and Spectator Value

- **Uncertain outcomes**: Human vs. human and human vs. AI games can be genuinely competitive; spectators are not merely watching engine replication.
- **Novel content**: New boards and configurations continuously supply unexplored positions and ideas.
- **Motivation**: Players can aim for mastery in a domain where machines do not yet dominate.

7.2 6.2 Education and Cognitive Benefits

- **Spatial and strategic reasoning**: Variable geometry and obstacles exercise spatial reasoning and planning.
- **Systems thinking**: Understanding how one rule change (omnidirectional pawns) enables new mechanics (walls, upgrade squares) illustrates how small changes expand possibility spaces.
- **Engagement**: Novel positions and configurations can sustain interest and experimentation in educational settings.

7.3 6.3 Research and Prior Art

- **Game AI**: High branching factor, many configurations, and position-dependent evaluation offer concrete challenges for search, evaluation, and learning.
- **Game theory**: Multi-player variants support work on alliances, cooperation, and equilibrium in non–zero-sum settings.
- **Defensive publication**: This document, together with open-source reference implementations, establishes a clear, citable description of the mechanics for prior-art and academic use.

---

8 7. Conclusion

Traditional chess has become a domain in which AI dominates and the possibility space is effectively locked and well mapped. OtherChess expands that space through a minimal set of rule and geometry changes: omnidirectional pawn movement, walls, upgrade squares, variable dimensions, and multi-player support. These mechanics are specified precisely enough to implement and to cite.

The expansion in branching factor, configuration space, and context-dependent evaluation creates a new frontier for human chess creativity and a multi-year window in which human strategic intuition can remain competitive with AI. It also opens research directions in game AI and multi-agent systems. Publishing the mechanics and providing open-source reference implementations supports both human creativity and the long-term development of AI that can cope with large, configuration-rich possibility spaces.

---

9 References and Related Documents

The following internal project documents provide implementation detail, competitive analysis, and feasibility studies:

- *OtherChess Variant Specification* — Full rules, piece interactions, and data structures.
- *OtherChess Patent Subject-Matter Description* — Technical disclosure including move validation, playability scoring, and skew (fairness) metrics.
- *OtherChess: The Return of Human Chess Supremacy* — Computational complexity and competitive analysis (branching factor, state space, human vs. AI).
- *OtherChess AI Development Feasibility Study* — Lookup-table and incremental-learning approaches for AI in high-branching configurations.
- *Vanilla Chess AI Investigation* — Adaptation of negamax, alpha–beta, and quiescence to omnidirectional pawns, walls, and upgrade squares.

An open-source reference implementation is available at the project repository (Otherchess / Expansion Chess Reborn).